

Let's take a look at the

Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol (MSTP)



About me

- Sebastian Inacker<inacker@fmsweb.de>
- FMS Internetservice GmbH Germany
- MikroTik Trainer (TR0011, May 2007)
- MTCNA, MTCRE,
 MTCTCE, MTCUME,
 MTCWE, MTCIPv6E,
 MTCINE, MTCSE





MikroTik trainings and workshops

Own training center (south-west of Germany)
 and on site
 (Austria, Denmark, Germany, Greenland, Hungary,
 Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands,
 Switzerland, Uganda)



Overview / big picture

"Implementing and running a RSTP (MSTP) network is easy. As long as it is running well."

Topics:

- Evolving network (improvements)
- Maybe more (R)STP than you expected (Background)
- MSTP for improved network



Overview / big picture

No topics:

- "New" style of bridge configuration
 (See MUM presentations or the MikroTik wiki)
- Redundancy of routers
 (see for example Patrik Schaub, 2018 Berlin)
- BPDU (Bridge Protocol Data Units)




The beginning



Existing setup

- Main site + one remote site
- One (reliable) 100 Mbit/s connection
- Local network 192.168.100.0/24
- Redundant internet connectivity

 100 Mbit/s link will be replaced by new 1 GBps/s link






Redundant setup

Prior presentations about redundancy (by us, FMS Internetservice):


Skipped the switch setup.



Patrik Schaub, MUM EU 2018 Berlin



Redundant setup






New setup (RSTP)

Used hardware:

Router: CCR1009 (example, depending on network)

Switch: CRS326 (CRS326-24G-2S+RM)


Software: RouterOS 6.43.12 / 6.44



CCR1009: HW offloading for RSTP on ether1-ether4



Traffic Flow





Redundant setup

Easy - just created bridges (with RSTP, default)

- Switches: All involved ports bridged
- Routers: ether3, ether4 (faceing switches) bridged
 HW offloading on CCR1009 (e1-e4)

Issues with given setup:

- Only 1 IP-Subnet (no VLAN, no network seperation)
- Traffic uses 100 Mbit/s link.



Network seperation (VLAN)



- VLAN 100: Client network
- VLAN 42: Management network

Users separated from management network


Also possible: VLAN for


- VoIP / Video
- Guest network (wireless)
- Backup / (VMWare|SQL) replication

. . . .




Example config switch local 1









Example config router 1







HW offloading: missing on router 1 / router 2




VLAN-Filtering=yes → HW offloading CRS3xx only

But: No switching traffic through router, right? → Wrong!



Local traffic through router






Issues to solve

Switch to switch: Port disabled by RSTP


- on local switch 1
- on remote switch 2



- We have "switching traffic" through router 1
- VLAN-Filtering required → No hw offloading on router → CPU
- Also: Slow link to remote site is used



Local switch to switch disabled





Path decission

Path decission on RSTP

How does RSTP selects path / non forwarding ports?

- Selection of "root bridge"
 - 1. Lowest bridge priority (default 0x8000 = 32768)
 - 2. Lowest bridge MAC accress

Bridge ID: 0x<pri>riority>.<mac_address>

Recommended priorities: Steps of 4096 (required for MSTP)

0x0000, 0x1000, 0x2000, ...0x9000, 0xA000, ..., 0xF000



Path decission on RSTP

How does RSTP selects path / non forwarding ports?

- Selektion of port roles (forwarding: yes/no)
 - 1. Lowest port path costs (default 10) on ports to root bridge
 - 2. Lowest port priority (default 0x80) for ports on root bridge
 - 3. Lowest bridge port ID

Path costs: On ports faceing to root bridge

Port priority: On ports faceing away from root bridge




Port roles

Ports to root bridge:

- root-port: Forwarding traffic.
- alternate-port: Not forwarding traffic. (Backup for root-port)

Use path costs to select path to root bridge.





Ports facing away from root bridge:

- designated-port: Forwarding traffic.
- backup-port: Not forwarding traffic.

Use port priority (if path costs are equal).

Additional port role:

disabled-port: Disabled / inactive port (no link)



Root bridge

Please note:

- Root bridge (layer 2) is no default gateway (layer 3)
- Traffic is not forced to go through root bridge.
- Non root bridges will just know the path with lowest cost to root bridge

Selected root bridge will affect traffic distribution.



Path decission on STP

I think that I shall never see

A graph more lovely than a tree.

A tree whose crucial property

Is loop-free connectivity.

A tree that must be sure to span

So packets can reach every LAN.

First, the root must be selected.

By ID, it is elected.

Least-cost paths from root are traced.

In the tree, these paths are placed.

A mesh is made by folks like me,

Then bridges find a spanning tree.


Poem by Radia Perlman, who invented STP.



Root bridge selection




Root bridge? I don't care...?!?






Root bridge? I don't care...?!?






Traffic to internet is ok






Slow link is used






Traffic through router





Traffic through router and other site





Path selection



Path selection

Intended traffic flow

- No traffic on slow link
- No traffic through router (no HW support)


Root bridge will be on active router (VRRP)

set priority=0x7000 on VRRP master and set priority=0x8000 on VRRP backup

Bridge path costs will help disableing the correct ports



Traffic design (R1 active)




No traffic through router:

path-cost=100 on slow link and crosslink

Rest: Default cost (10)



Traffic design (R2 active)



No traffic through router:

path-cost=100 on slow link and crosslink

Rest: Default cost (10)



Traffic design (R1 active)

Is this our final / perfect setup?

Depends on...


devices talking to each other
 (Device to device or device to internet only?)

Also: Let's check failure on main site.

(Failure of site to site link will just use slow link.)




Failure (R1 active)





Failure (R1 active)






Improvements



Use backup link


Wishlist: Use the backup link



Sebastian Inacker, MUM EU 2018 Berlin




Client and management traffic





Backup/replication traffic





We have

- One bridge = One RSTP network
 - → One root bridge
 - → Same path costs for all VLANs


Would it be possible to have a root bridge and path costs for each VLAN (group of VLANs)?



Use backup link

Do not use multiple bridges, because

- No HW offloading, Possible Loops in network, ...
- See MUM presentations or MikroTik wiki
 - → Manual:Layer2 misconfiguration
 - → Bridged VLAN on physical interfaces





MSTP



Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol (MSTP)

Available since RouterOS 6.41 (2017-12-22)

Multiple? Can we have...

- multiple STPs?
- multiple root bridges?
- Different paths on Layer 2?

Hardware offloading: Only on CRS3xx




Please note:

This is no guideline, how to migrate in a production network! MSTP is compatible to RSTP (see MSTI0, later), but there can be loss of connectivity.



Enable MSTP

Use MSTP on routers and switches




MSTI - MST Instance

- One root bridge per MST Instance
- Different root bridges → different disabled ports
- Assign VLANs to Instances → different paths per VLAN (group)
- MST Instance Identifier: Number (1 31)

Sollution to not beeing able to use multiple bridges.



MST Instances (on router 1, root bridge in MSTI1)






MST Override

- Different path costs, assigned to interfaces & Instances →
 Sellection of paths to be disabled
- Path costs (MSTI1, MSTI2, ...) will not be taken from bridge ports!

For MSTI1 (VLANs for user traffic and management) we will configure path costs of next slide




MSTI 1 (VLAN 42, 100)






MST Override, MSTI1 (VLAN 100, 42)


Switch main 2, interface faceing router 1








MSTI 2 (VLAN 73)










MikroTik wiki: First subchapter for MSTP is "Regions". We ignored regions so far…!

Our Region Name: Empty


Region Revision: 0 (default)






With regions it's possible to have

- One regional root bridge per region and VLAN group
- STP running in each region (Internal Spanning Tree, IST)
- STP between regions (Common Spanning Tree, CST)











One region is fine for us.

Use same values for

- Region Name
- Region Revision
- VLAN mappings to MSTI IDs on all MSTP devices (for BPDU packets).

If not: MSTP will assume multiple regions!








We have internal path costs for our (single) region for each MST instance (VLAN group).

Do we need path costs on bridge ports (not at MST Override)?

Depends.

There is a MST Instance Zero for all VLANs not assigned to a MST Instance. *MSTIO will use bridge port costs*.







What do you expect?

- Who is root bridge on new RSTP switches?
 - Router 1 (it's root for MSTI1, VLAN 100)
 - One "random" switch/router."



What do you expect?


- Who is root bridge on new RSTP switches?
 - Router 1 (it's root for MSTI1, VLAN 100)
 - One "random" switch/router

Expect the unexpected

- It's one "random" switch (here: switch main 2) –
 depending on priority/MAC of /interface bridge config
- Traffic is going the (correct) MSTI1 (VLAN 100) path.
 (also the bridge / port is not forwarding.)



Disabled *bridge* ports (at *MSTIO*)





Thank you!